# Schools Forum

# Minutes of Meeting held on Monday 9 November 2020

Virtual (via Zoom)

Members Present: Nicholas Dry Jenny Adamson

Sharon Oliver Rob Veale

Patrick Shields Lorraine Slee

Cllr Helen Redfern Neil Ferrigan

Tyrone Myton Nathan Walters

Rob Hitch Chris Andrews

Soumick Dey Louise Lee

Jaqi Stevenson Dave Harvey

Linda O'Callaghan Joe Flynn

Kevin Standish Keran Currie

Josephine Copeland Dave Winters

Observers Present: Cllr Shafi Khan Cllr Alisa Flemming

Cllr Margaret Bird Shelley Davies

Michael McKeaveney Orlagh Guarnori

Sarah Bailey Emma Watson

**Apologies**: Vivienne Esparon, Roger Capham

Cllr Joy Prince – technical issues were cited.

Chair: Jolyon Roberts

Vice Chair: Theresa Staunton

Clerk: Heather Beck/Geraldine Truss

Declaration of Interest	
There were none.	
The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting. Observers at the meeting were asked introduce themselves and were:	
Emma Watson – School Business Manager, Winterbourne Junior Girls	
The meeting was quorate.	

1:	Minutes and actions from the last meeting (5 October Virtual Meeting – Zoom)	
	Matters arising	
	Page 3, Item 5 – Para 2 'The wear and tear of the building has impacted heavily (£50,000) on the school'. Members reminded the meeting that ALL schools experience wear and tear and that this was not an exclusive feature of PFI schools.	
	Pick up amendment on wording POST MEET ACTION	Jolyon Roberts
	Dave Harvey (DH) asked if an LA officer could provide a list of beneficiaries of the Ashburton PFI to the Schools Forum in December <b>ACTION</b>	Orlagh Guarnori
	Page 7, Item 8b – Para 4 High Needs is currently running at 16M deficit.	
	Dave Harvey highlighted once again the ever expanding deficit of the High Needs. He mentioned the Grant Thornton public interest report on the council's financial position and how it impacts on Forum and recommendations the report has made. He suggests this report should be on a future Forum agenda. DH said an item in the report points to the Asylum Seekers threshold – and a suggested cut to this funding. He said Forum need to address this.	
	Overview of the Audit Report on the Croydon Recovery Plan in relation to DSG; UASC; High Needs – LA to share their actions and follow up report to be presented to Schools Forum in December.	
	ACTION	Challey Davies
	Standing Agenda Item – Croydon Recovery Plan (January 2021)	Shelley Davies/ Kate Bingham

	Jolyon Roberts picked up on Dave Harvey's comments saying the High Needs deficit had been repeatedly discussed at Forum. Croydon is not the only borough to be suffering from High Needs funding.  Kate Bingham said the LA response needs to be delivered within 30 days which is on 11 November 2020 and will be published on the Croydon Council' website.  Neil Ferrigan made a comment having picked up in the report the LA's borrowing is be increased to around £2.2B in two years' time.  Q1: Neil Ferrigan asked what the impact would be on the borrowing against where we are now. Will there be further shavings along the way, set aside the recommendations;  A1: Jolyon Roberts said Forum has got the DSG remit and the rest of the LA response to the section 114 notice are for the new CEO and elected members.	
2:	Establishing membership and voting rights	
	Jolyon Roberts said further work needs to be carried out on getting more members.	
	Jolyon Roberts and Clerk to organise an election for Primary Maintained School Headteachers <b>ACTION</b>	Jolyon Roberts & Clerk
3:	Virtual School update report	
	Sarah Bailey (SB) presented this paper and directed the attention of members to some sections of particular interest:	
	<ul> <li>The Report highlights that the Personal Education Plan (PEP) completion rate for those attending the virtual school increased from 85% to 95% this year. The majority of funding is devoted to staffing which has increased to 30 FTE with 3 members of staff targeting post -16 pupils. The Report evidences the spend by the Virtual School of monies received from Schools Forum;</li> <li>Croydon looked after children achieved a ranking of second overall nationally for KS1-2 progress and KS2-4 progress out of 346 LAs nationally;</li> <li>Teachers have a cap of 60 pupils that they have responsibility for and this has proved to be manageable;</li> <li>The Ofsted ILACs inspection in February 2020 gave Croydon Virtual School a very positive comprehensive review of their contribution in their published report.</li> </ul>	
	Q1: Page 3, Para 3 3.6 - Neil Ferrigan queried the KS2 drop from 43 to 37. Is there a reason for this drop;	

- A1: SB said that this year it was due to the teacher's assessment and they would have been more cautious. The cohorts vary from year to year and this year there were 15 pupils with identified SEN needs. Due to the differing individual needs it is hard to compare year on year;
- Q2: Page 9, Para 10.1 Neil Ferrigan asked about the two exclusions:
- A2: SB said the two pupils were permanent exclusions from Croydon secondary schools. One pupil had gone into an alternate provision while the other was placed out of borough. They are both still in education;
- Q3: Neil Ferrigan asked what happens to the children once they leave VS:
- A3: SB said pupils will then come under Social Care;
- Q4: Neil Ferrigan asked about the percentage of pupil absence and said a marker would help to gauge it;
- A4: SB said due to Covid-19 the percentage marker slipped and persistence absentees is always a challenge. This currently sits at 14% which is higher than preferred. VS will take on board a design for a marker to gauge absenteeism.

Jolyon Roberts said the report was discussed in Pre Meet and shows a positive picture of improvement and progress. Since Forum has receiving these reports the measurable outcomes has improved and so it is good that Ofsted have now recognised this too.

Shelley Davies said this was an ILAC partnership which is key. There is a link between education and Social care, learning access, EHE and CME.

- Q5: Jolyon Roberts asked has online teaching being viable due to Covid-19:
- A5: SB said online learning is working and that in fact some young people are engaging more now.

Orlagh Guarnori said the funding for VS sits within the AWPU tool.

# 4: Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) School Funding Formula – 2021/22 Formula Factors

Orlagh Guarnori (OG) presented this paper

This paper sets out each of the factors that are used in the Croydon local formula, the rate/amounts in the NFF and rates at the midpoint between the two.

Jenny Adamson informed Forum that the minutes of the School Block working party (13/10/2020) gave an in-depth assessment of the funding paper and suggestions as to how factors should be used. These minutes should be front loaded to assist the Forum.

Jolyon Roberts agreed with Jenny Adamson and said the Chair of the Schools Block, Patrick Shields would input on how decisions in Schools Block where reached and their recommendations for each point and whether there was a majority for each recommendation. OG said the increase in Schools Block has been ring fenced and at this time no request has been made to top slice it. The teachers' pension grant and the teachers' pay award grants have been rolled into the Schools Block funding which meant that instead of £14.5m of new money becoming available there was now about £1.9m of new money.

## 3.1 - Minimum per pupil funding

No decision was needed here as these are nationally agreed arrangements.

## 3.2 - Age weighted pupil unit (AWPU)

No decision was needed. This rate can only be determined once other funding rates have been allocated via the other factors.

## 3.3.1 - Deprivation - IDACI

This is a compulsory factor made up of 3 elements; free school meals (FSM) free school meals 6 (FSM6) and income deprivation affecting children index (IDACI). In the past Croydon has used all 3 factors.

Patrick Shields said the discussion within Schools Block covered the impact on schools if this rate changed. Schools Forum have done a huge amount of work over past years and Schools Block voted unanimously to move to the mid point between Croydon's existing rate and the NFF rate.

- Q1: Tyrone Myton asked if these numbers we are voting on will mean that whatever decision is made we will stay within the overall DSG budget allocated to Croydon;
- A1: Jolyon Roberts said we are not allowed to go outside of the budget

Patrick Shields said School Block recommend moving to midpoint.

Option a: Those in favour = 0 Option b: Those in favour = 14

Abstention: = 0

#### 3.3.2 - Deprivation - FSM

Patrick Shields said School Block recommend voting the request to include an FSM factor in the formula.

#### 3.4 - Low Prior Attainment (LPA)

Table 7 illustrated the payments which have applied in previous years.

Patrick Shields said that Schools Block had requested more information on the definition of LPA. Schools Block recognised this is one of the few factors that is increasing to reach the NFF rate. Schools Block had unanimously agreed to move towards mid point.

Q2: Jolyon Roberts asked how many pupils were eligible for LPA;

A2: OG said she would find out and get back to the Forum;

Q3: Tyrone Myton asked who sets the criteria;

A3: Jolyon Roberts said Forum determine whether the definition is a national factor as Forum used this last year in order to avoid turbulence.

Option a: Those in favour of = 0
Option b: Those in favour of = 14

Abstention: = 0

# 3.5 - English as an additional language

This is an optional factor that Croydon has used in the local formula up until now. Table 8 sets out the rates in 2020/21 and proposed rate for 2021/22. The rate is virtually unchanged.

Jolyon Roberts said the difference between the NFF and the midpoint rate for the primary sector was £11. If Forum moved the NFF rate it would be a greater amount.

Patrick Shields said Schools Block recognised the need for EAL and recommend move once again to the mid point between Croydon and the NFF rate

Option a: Those in favour = 0 Option b: Those in favour = 14

Abstention: = 0

# 3.6 - Looked after children

There is no guided NFF rate and Croydon can set the rate locally. The VS received funding last year.

Patrick Shields said Schools Block held a good discussion regarding the Virtual School's paper which was presented above. The report evidenced the success Virtual School had achieved through receiving this funding.

Schools Block unanimously recommend maintaining the existing rate of £500 per pupil and for it to be awarded to the Virtual School.

Q4: Neil Ferrigan asked if this funding was monitored;

A4: OG said yes it was monitored via Schools Forum papers such as the one that was received at this meeting

Option a + b were considered together as one decision.

Those in favour = 14

Abstention: =0

## **3.7 - Lump Sum**

Each school received a lump sum of money illustrated in Table 10. There are different rates for primary and secondary in 2020/21 and 2021/22. It is noted that smaller schools i.e.1 form entry schools are impacted most by changes to this factor.

Patrick Shields said this provoked a long discussion at Schools Block. Schools Block were unable to achieve a unanimous recommendation; Decision - no recommendation from Schools Block.

Jolyon Roberts said Schools Forum members should vote with their conscience and on information they received today but to please bear in mind the effect on smaller schools.

Sharon Oliver said there are only five 1FE schools Croydon and if funding was put at the midpoint it would affect the schools budgets. Schools are getting more children who need an EHCP, this impact requires a TA to be with the child until the EHCP plan is received. Small schools rely on outside clubs, and single entry schools are not always in deprived areas and do not get funds from other areas. Having smaller number of children means not always getting the funds that larger schools get. Sharon Oliver said she will take this up with the government in the future.

- Q5 Jaqi Stevenson said she understood what Sharon Oliver was saying and that small schools should be protected. How many years do we have the flexibility to cushion the move to the hard formula:
- A5: OG said Croydon will have to move to the individual hard formula by 2024/25 and that the soft formula can be used till then.

OG said there is no small school factor and if small schools are to be kept they need to be supported.

Option a: Those in favour = 0 Option b: Those in favour = 0 Option c: Those in favour = 14

Abstention: = 0

## 3.8 - Mobility

The allocation of the mobility fund is for pupils who join a school on a non-standard date. The DfE have developed a new methodology that enables calculation of distribution funds on a formulaic basis. The

unique pupil ID is used to track the movement of pupils for the last 3 years. To be eligible for mobility funding a school has to be above the threshold of 6% in the one year.

Patrick Shields said Schools Block discussed this in depth and were in agreement to move to the mid point rate.

Option a: those in favour = 0 Option b: those in favour = 14

Abstention = 0

#### 3.9.1 - Private Finance Initiative - PFI

Croydon has one school that has a PFI contract. Appendix A shows how PFI is calculated within the NFF, along with guidance by the DfE regarding the PFI factor.

Table 12 sets out the historic spend since 2013/14 to the present day. The figures are taken from Louise Lee, Regional Director for Oasis Academy paper that she presented to Schools Forum on 5 October 2020.

Patrick Shields said that Schools Block recognised that Louise Lee presented a strong paper to Schools Forum. The addition of proposal 4 was based on a discussion in Schools Block. 93000 students in Croydon are paying £6/7 towards this schools PFI. Only 50000 of those students are contained in this formula. This PFI has a negative impact on Oasis one which they would have known about. Oasis is a member of a federation and they should contribute more towards the school. Everyone is suffering from inflation.

The Schools Block recognised this was a difficult discussion and there was not agreement reached.

Q6: Jolyon Roberts said the idea of a % increase was not requested by Louise Lee, it was a suggestion from the last Schools Forum, possibly from Dave Harvey at the last Schools Forum – is this true?

A6: Dave Harvey said he did not suggest an RPI figure but did ask that that it be re-examined and an increase considered

Dave Winters said Oasis was unique in Croydon not only because it is the only PFI in Croydon and that the school cannot generate incomes like other schools. The situation is unsatisfactory and a number of issues have been identified by Schools Block which should be addressed. The attitude of 'we are stuck with it' is not productive as this was a poor decision made by politicians who should be pushed into trying to do something about this. Oasis should do something about this as well. There is legislation relating to restricting fares/rates, energy prices, rents, so why not have a restriction on this situation. Dave Winters said he would pick option 3 as the best for

allowing the school to keep head their head above water, if not then option 4.

Tyrone Myton pointed out that when Oasis took over the school they would have been aware of the PFI arrangements and the risks that went with that.

Q7: Tyrone Myton asked is the cost of proposal 3 above what we gave last year and what is the total on proposal 4 – we give £300K:

A7: Jolyon Roberts said the amount is £380K approaching £400K.

Tyrone Myton asked if there was a reduction in last year's figures, would Oasis not have taken account of this. He raised this at the last meeting at Forum that when Oasis took over Ashburton they would have known about this and surely factored this in. He agreed with Patrick Shields points that children all around Croydon not studying at Oasis have to pay for this. He comes from a trust himself and believes Oasis could be picking up some of this.

Jolyon Roberts said this PFI was signed a long time ago and things have changed although the points made by Tyrone Myton and Patrick Shields are valid.

Dave Harvey said option 3 indicated the detriment that the children have had over the past years. It is not the fault of the children whether the furniture should be replaced; or building surveyed; or there are after school activities. The children were not born when this PFI was initiated. The unions have contested this down the line for years. This is an unfair penalty on the pupils in the school in relation to the support pupils in other schools receive.

Rob Hitch said he is in agreement with Dave Harvey but asked if we continued with the present arrangements is this merely stopping the Trust from helping themselves?

Nick Dry said he thought Oasis was a larger academy trust and they had the mobility of moving resources where required.

Orlagh Guarnori said option 4 contributes £3 p/p in Croydon and then MFG applies making a total of £380k which is a significant decrease from previous funding.

Patrick Shields said to some extent looking at the figures it is saying what value we think that every other pupil in Croydon has. Other schools do not have brand new buildings. Pupils in Croydon were born before this political decision was made and option 3 with £3 along with MFG will be safeguarded.

Dave Harvey said they always operated on £50K, there was talk about £90K. To be clear option 4 would be reducing the amount going to Oasis this year.

Neil Ferrigan said the PFI will finish in 18 years' time and says the emphasis should be on Oasis to solve this. What would happen if the school had no PFI factor? He would opt for option 1 and would like to abstain as he felt he did not know enough.

Jolyon Roberts informed meeting that at the end of 18 years the contract has to be paid off. If any gaps happen the money still has to be found. The ultimate signatory for the PFI is Croydon Council who do not need to default on any payments. All pupils in Croydon are paying for this and we have to be practical and manage it. He personal view would be to select option 1 which would then put the emphasis on Oasis to fill the small resulting gap. He is uncomfortable about radically changing, as a decrease in funding will probably have the effect of bringing SLT from the school back to Schools Forum until it is resolved. He agrees with members concerns but we have to take a pragmatic view on this.

Q8: Sharon Oliver asked if we were in NFF formula what would Oasis get;

A8: Orlagh Guarnori said NFF would be the historic rate which was applied in previous years. Appendix A of the paper sets out the calculation of the PFI and the guidance indicates an agreement must be reached with all.

Option 1: those in favour = 7 Option 2: those in favour = 0 Option 3: those in favour = 0 Option 4: those in favour = 6

Abstention: = 1

Jolyon Roberts said everyone voted with their conscience and he thanked Forum for the thought that went into this.

## 3.10 - Minimum Funding Guarantee - MFG

This is set at the lowest rate. This is estimated at £88.310 if we go with the NFF factors. Croydon has set this formula at 1.5% for the last 4 years and Table 13 exhibits the previous history of the MFG rate.

Patrick Shields said Schools Block recommended setting MFG at +0.5%

Schools Forum are requested to agree to set the MFG at +0.5%

Those in favour =14 Abstention: = 0

There was unanimous agreement to set MFG +0.5%.

#### 3.11 - Growth

The criteria for growth funding for schools was reviewed and approved by Schools Forum on 5 October 2020. The AWPU rates will be applied to the schools who meet the growth criteria and have been ratified by Schools Admission.

Table 14 illustrates the growth rate from 2017/18 to the present day.

Schools Forum are requested to note the above.

# 5: **Mobility funds distribution**

Orlagh Guarnori (OG) presented this paper

The methodology for the allocation of this 'one off' payment of the carry forward growth fund for mobility, was agreed in previous meetings.

Patrick Shields said Schools Block working group agreed to the format for the disbursement of £182,707.

OG said Table 1 lists the 41 schools who returned their claims within the time limit. Two schools included one pupil where the pupil has not attended the setting. Three late applications were discounted, 369 pupils equates to £459.14 p/p.

Patrick Shields said Schools Block had discovered that non claims had not been weeded out when the distribution fund was initially planned. At this preliminary stage Schools Block would welcome further scrutiny.

Schools Forum unanimously carried the motion.

# 6: Update from Schools Forum Work Groups (for information)

#### Early Years Working Party

A meeting was held on 29 September 2020 where they discussed High Needs/Early Years funding along with discussion on maintained nursery schools and current actions.

#### High Needs Working Party

A meeting will be held on 18 November 2020.

# Schools Block Working Party

A meeting was held on 13 October 2020. There was a heavy load and had poor attendance.

7:	Any Other Business	
	Cllr Redfern referred Forum members to the Report in the Public Interest published by external auditors on Croydon Council's finances. The DSG recommendation is detailed on Page 9, Item 4. <a href="https://www.croydon.gov.uk/democracy/budgets/report-in-the-public-interest#:~:text=What%20is%20a%20Report%20in,audited%20body%20and%20the%20public">https://www.croydon.gov.uk/democracy/budgets/report-in-the-public-interest#:~:text=What%20is%20a%20Report%20in,audited%20body%20and%20the%20public</a> Jolyon Roberts thanked Forum for their attendance today. It was a really good piece of scrutiny. He thanked the officers who do lots of work on this – the information they provide is logical and well thought out.	
	Next meeting 7 December 2020	

## Abbreviations used within the minutes

AWPU Average weighted pupil unit BWH Bernard Weatherill House

CALAT Croydon Adult Learning and Training CHTA Croydon Headteachers Association

DfE Department for Education DSG Dedicated Schools Grant

EAL English as an additional language
ESOL English as a second/or other language

ESFA Education Skills Funding Agency
EHCP Education, Health and Care Plan
E-PEP Electronic Personal Education Plan

ESG Education Services Grant

EY Early Years

FSM Free School Meals

IDACI Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index

IMD Index of Multiple DeprivationINM Independent/non-maintainedKPI Key Performance Indicator

LA Local Authority

LAC Looked After Children
LLW London Living Wage
LPA Low Prior Attainment
MAT Multi-Academy Trust

MFG Minimum Funding Guarantee MNS Maintained Nursery Schools

**NEOST National Employers Organisation for School Teachers** 

NEET Not in Education, Employment or Training

NFF National Funding Formula
PAN Planned Admission Number
PEP Personal Education Plan
PFI Private Finance Imitative
PPG Pupil Premium Grant

PPL Private Public Limited, Consultancy Firm

PVI Private, voluntary sector and independent providers

SLA Service Level Agreement

SRMA School Resource Management Adviser

STPCD School Teachers Pay and Conditions Document

STRB School Teachers Review Board

ToR Terms of Reference

TPA Teacher Professional Association

UASC Unaccompanied Asylum Seeker Children

UPN Unique Pupil Number

# **Academies and their Trusts**

Туре	School	Trust	Single Trust or MAT
Primary	3311001	11400	Ç. MAI
Academy	Aerodrome Primary Academy	REACH2	MAT
Academy	Applegarth Academy	STEP Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Ark Oval Primary Academy	ARK	MAT
Academy	Atwood Primary Academy	Atwood Primary Academy	Single
Academy	Beulah Infant School	Pegasus Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Broadmead Primary Academy	The Pioneer Academy	MAT
Academy	Castle Hill Academy	The Platonos Trust	MAT
Academy Academy	Chestnut Park Primary School Chipstead Valley Primary School	GLF Schools PACE Academy Trust	MAT MAT
Academy	Courtwood Primary School	The Collegiate Trust	MAT
Academy	Cypress Primary School	Pegasus Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	David Livingstone Academy	STEP Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Davidson Primary Academy	Chancery Education Trust	MAT
Academy	Ecclesbourne Primary School	Pegasus Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Fairchildes Primary School	Fairchildes Academy Community Trust	MAT
Academy	Forest Academy	Synaptic Trust	MAT
Academy	Gilbert Scott Primary School	The Collegiate Trust	MAT
Academy	Gonville Academy	STEP Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Good Shepherd Catholic Primary School	Good Shepherd Catholic Primary and Nursery School	Single
Academy	Harris Primary Academy Benson	Harris Federation	MAT
Academy	Harris Primary Academy Haling Park	Harris Federation Harris Federation	MAT
Academy Academy	Harris Primary Academy Kenley Harris Primary Academy Purley Way	Harris Federation Harris Federation	MAT MAT
Academy	Heathfield Academy Heathfield Academy	STEP Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Kensington Avenue Primary School	The Manor Trust	MAT
Academy	Keston Primary School	PACE Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Kingsley Primary Academy	Cirrus Primary Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Monks Orchard Primary and Nursery School	Fairchildes Academy Community Trust	MAT
Academy	New Valley Primary School	PACE Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Oasis Academy Byron	Oasis Community Learning	MAT
Academy	Oasis Academy Ryelands School	Oasis Community Learning	MAT
Academy	Oasis Academy Shirley Park	Oasis Community Learning	MAT
Academy	Park Hill Junior School	The Folio Trust	MAT
Academy	Robert Fitzroy Academy	REACH2	MAT
Academy	Rowdown Primary School	Fairchildes Academy Community Trust	MAT
Academy	St Aidan's Catholic Primary School	St. Aidan's Catholic Primary School	Single
Academy	St Chad's Catholic Primary School	St Chad's Catholic Primary School	Single
Academy	St Cyprian's Greek Orthodox Primary Academy	St Cyprian's Greek Orthodox Primary Academy	Single
Academy Academy	St James the Great RC Primary and Nursery School St Mary's Catholic Infant School	St James the Great R.C. Primary and Nursery School St Mary's Catholic Primary Schools Trust	Single MAT
Academy	St Mary's Catholic Junior School	St Mary's Catholic Primary Schools Trust	MAT
Academy	St Peter's Primary School	The Folio Trust	MAT
Academy	St Thomas Becket Catholic Primary School	St Thomas Becket Catholic Primary School	Single
Academy	The Crescent Primary School	The Pioneer Academy	MAT
Academy	The South Norwood Academy	The Pioneer Academy	MAT
Academy	The Woodside Academy	Synaptic Trust	MAT
Academy	Tudor Primary Academy	STEP Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	West Thornton Primary Academy	Synaptic Trust (due to change on 31/12/19)	MAT
Academy	Whitehorse Manor Infant School	Pegasus Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Whitehorse Manor Junior School	Pegasus Academy Trust	MAT
Academy	Winterbourne Boys' Academy	The Platonos Trust	MAT
Secondary			
Academy	Harris Academy Purley	Harris Federation	MAT
Academy	Harris Academy South Norwood	Harris Federation	MAT
Academy	Harris City Academy Crystal Palace Meridian High School	Harris Federation GLF Schools	MAT MAT
Academy Academy	Norbury Manor Business & Enterprise College	The Manor Trust	MAT
Academy	Oasis Academy Arena	Oasis Community Learning	MAT
Academy	Oasis Academy Coulsdon	Oasis Community Learning  Oasis Community Learning	MAT
Academy	Oasis Academy Shirley Park	Oasis Community Learning	MAT
Academy	Orchard Park High School	Greenshaw Learning Trust	MAT
Academy	Riddlesdown Collegiate	The Collegiate Trust	MAT
Academy	Shirley High School Performing Arts College	Shirley High School	Single
Academy	St Joseph's College	St Joseph's College Delasalle	Single
Academy	The Archbishop Lanfranc Academy	The BEC Trust	Single
Academy	The Quest Academy - Coloma Trust	The Collegiate Trust	MAT
Academy	Woodcote High School	Woodcote High School	Single
SEN Academy	Beckmead family of schools	The Beckmead Trust	MAT
			148.71
	lute Return for Kids		
	n, Learn, Flourish		
	nership Achievement Community Excellence		
oı⊨P - Stri\	ring Together for Excellence in Partnership		